

X

Sulte 301, One Westlakes
Berwyn, PA 19312
P.O. Box 980

Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980
Phone: 610-407-0700
Fax: 610-407-0701

Nemours Building 1007 Orange Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1596 Wilmington, DE 19899 Phone: 302-778-2500

Fax:

302-778-2500

www.ratnerprestia.com

Suite 265
Commerce Corporate Center
5100 Tilghman Street
Allentown, PA 18104
Phone: 610-530-8100

Fax:

610-530-8200

# FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE:

April 15, 2003

OUR REF.:

MTS-3181US

TIME: 12:05 PM

YOUR REF.:

09/471,981

TO: LY T. TRAN

COMPANY:

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FROM:

Allan Ratner/Jack J. Jankovitz

**FAX TELEPHONE:** 

(703) 308-7724

OFFICE TELEPHONE:

(703) 308-0752

TITLE OF DOCUMENT:

Response to Final Office Action Dated 01/17/03

Total Number of Pages: 5 (including this form)

COMMENTS

**FAX RECEIVED** 

APR 1 5 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 

# CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY/CLIENT INFORMATION

This facsimile transmission (and/or documents accompanying it) may contain attorney/client privileged communications and confidential business information that is intended for use only by the individual or company to whom it is addressed. Disclosure, interception, copying or any other use of this transmission by anyone other than any intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this transmission by mistake, please notify the sender.

Please notify us immediately if you have not received the number of pages indicated above.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

E. Igaki et al.

: Art Unit:

2853

Serial No.:

09/471,981

: Examiner: Ly T. Tran

Filed:

December 23, 1999

: EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

For:

INK-JET RECORDING HEAD

: 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

## **RESPONSE TO** FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED JANUARY 17, 2003

**FAX RECEIVED** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

APR 1 5 2003

SIR:

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 

Responsive to the various paragraphs of the Final Office Action dated January 17, 2003, Applicants offer the following remarks:

Claims 1, 4, 5, 40, 41, 43, 51, 53, 54, 56, 65-69, 72, 75 and 77-80 have been allowed.

Claims 3, 39, 42, 44-50, 58, 59, 61-63, 71, 76, 81 and 82 stand rejected.

#### Section 103 Rejections:

Claims 3 and 81 have been rejected as being obvious in view of Sugahara, Tsukada and Watanabe. Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is overcome for the reasons set forth below.

Watanabe has a publication date of November 30, 2000. This application has a priority date of December 25, 1998, which is earlier than Watanabe's publication date. Applicants respectfully request that Watanabe be removed as a reference.

< SUN UN

# Claim 3 recites the following features:

- > at least one of the electrodes is embedded in the partition wall ...,
- > the first ink pressure chamber, the first partition wall, the pressure buffer chamber, the second partition wall and the second ink pressure chamber are arranged in sequence along a thickness direction of the piezoelectric block,
- the first fixed wall disposed adjacent to the first ink pressure chamber and the second fixed wall disposed adjacent to the second ink pressure chamber,
- surfaces of the two electrodes are oriented perpendicular to the thickness direction, the driving portion is **polarized in the**thickness direction and perpendicular to the surfaces of the electrodes.

The feature of an **electrode embedded in a partition wall** is shown in FIG. 4, for example. As shown, a partition wall (4a, 4b) includes two electrodes (7a). One of the electrodes is embedded in the partition wall.

The Final Office Action admits, at page 3, the fifth paragraph, that Sugahara fails to teach at least one of the electrodes is embedded in the partition wall. Since Watanabe (cited for an embedded electrode) is not a proper reference, this feature is not suggested by any of the other references. Applicants, therefore, respectfully submit that claim 3 is patentable over the cited references.

Furthermore, as recited in claim 3 and shown, for example, in Fig. 4, the first ink pressure chamber 3a, the first partition wall 4a, the pressure buffer

- 3 -

chamber 5, the second partition wall 4b, and the second ink pressure chamber 3b are arranged in sequence along a thickness direction. As further recited, and shown in Fig. 4, first fixed wall 6 is disposed adjacent to first ink pressure chamber 3a, and second fixed wall 6 is disposed adjacent to second ink pressure chamber 3b. Sugahara, on the other hand, shows fixed wall 102 on the left side of Fig. 2 and a fixed wall (not labeled) on the right side of Fig. 2. The fixed wall on the left side is disposed adjacent to air channel 116 and the fixed wall on the right side is disposed adjacent air channel 115. Accordingly, Sugahara does not disclose or suggest a first fixed wall disposed adjacent to an ink pressure chamber, and Sugahara does not disclose or suggest a second fixed wall disposed adjacent to an ink pressure chamber. Sugahara is thus different from the features in claim 3. Favorable reconsideration is requested for claim 3.

Moreover, claim 3 recites that the driving portion is **polarized in the thickness direction**, where the thickness direction, as shown in Fig. 4 (for example) is **perpendicular to the surfaces of the electrodes**.

Sugahara, on the other hand, discloses that the polarization is in a direction indicated by arrow 5, as shown on the right side of Fig. 2. This is also disclosed in Sugahara, at column 4, line 24. Sugahara, therefore, discloses a polarization that is parallel to the electrodes and perpendicular to the thickness direction. Sugahara thus does not disclose the feature of the polarization being perpendicular to the electrodes, as recited in claim 3. Reconsideration is requested for claim 3.

### Claim 81:

Although not the same, claim 81 includes features similar to claim 3. Reconsideration is requested for claim 81 for the same reasons set forth above for claim 3.

- 4 -

## **Dependent Claims:**

Dependent claims 39, 42, 44-50, 58, 59, 61-63, 71 and 76, which depend from claim 3, and dependent claim 82, which depends from claim 81, are not subject to rejection in view of the cited references for at least the same reasons set forth for claim 3.

Respectfully Submitted,

RatnerPrestia

Allan Ratner, Reg. No. 19,717 Jack 1 Jankovitz, Reg. No. 42,690

Attorneys for Applicants

JJJ/fp

Dated:

April 15, 2003

Suite 301 One Westlakes, Berwyn P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980

(610) 407-0700

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents Is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. 18-0350 of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 308-7724) on the date shown below.

April 15, 2003